

ONTARIO  
AND  
QUEBEC  
WRECKS.

June, 1907]

THE RAILWAY

Reports on Railway Accidents.

The Board of Railway Commissioners has decided to make public the reports of the investigations into the accidents on the C.P.R., at Mountain, Ont., on Mar. 1, and at Brunel, Ont., April 1. The report of E. C. Lethbridge, Inspector of Accidents, on the Mountain accident is as follows:

"The accident was a head-on collision between passenger train 6, engine 908, conductor W. Bart, baggageman A. Lesperance, brakeman E. Williams, engineer J. Hartney, and fireman H. Richmond, and westbound extra freight train 984, conductor H. Scott, brakemen E. Sulfel and W. J. O'Mara, engineer J. E. Murrayon, and Fireman J. A. Renfick, in the yard at Mountain at 6 a.m.

"The cause of the collision was the failure of engineer J. E. Murrayon, of train 984, to determine correctly the place where to meet the fast passenger train 6, when receiving despatcher's order 36, on form 19, at Winchester, advising that train 6 was running 50 minutes late from Kemptville Jet. to Vandreuil.

"The result of the collision was that Engineer J. Hartney, of train 6, H. Richmond, fireman, and A. Lesperance, baggageman, employees of the same train were injured, and W. Hitchins, Dominion Express messenger, and his assistant, J. J. Nicholson, of the same train, were burned to death while pinned in express car 1765, which was set on fire by oil lamps lighted in the car at the time of the collision.

"From the evidence it is shown that passenger train 6 was a superior train as to class and direction, and not scheduled to stop at any place between Kemptville Jet. and Vandreuil. It consisted of six cars, two express and baggage cars, tourist car, Walsch passenger car, Pullman car Amadeo, and a C.P.R. sleeping car Algoma. The cars were equipped with and were burning gas except in the two express and baggage cars which were lighted by 4 ceiling lamps and two desk lamps. At 5:25 a.m. despatcher McRae issued an order, 36, addressed to train 6 and extra freight 984, 3rd no. 50 at Finch, extra 976 and 2nd no. 50 at Monklands, as follows:

ches  
red  
pro  
no.  
not  
clean  
min  
984  
mid  
and  
stab  
984  
at h  
rall  
out  
onde  
rear  
22  
Fin  
con  
par  
rea  
ral  
wa  
Wi  
cor  
bit  
for  
lot  
cou  
the  
sin  
to  
ne  
fig  
lat

for  
im  
re  
th  
Ro  
or  
M  
is  
en  
or  
te  
ti  
et  
fa

Mountain Ontario

MARCH 1

1907

Engine 908  
984

Brunel Ontario  
April 1 1907

JUNE

1907

P 461

Reports on Railway Accidents.

The Board of Railways Commissioners have decided to make public the reports of the investigations into the accidents on the C.P.R. mountains, Ontario Mar. 1, and at Bowden Mountain, Ontario Aug. 1, the report of R. C. Ladouceur, Inspector of Accidents, on the Mountain accident is as follows:

"The accident was a head-on collision between passenger train 6, engine 908, conductor W. Hora, locomotive A. Lester, engineer E. Williams, and a J. S. Jones' and friends' freight train 481, conductor J. L. Edwards, engine 11, locomotive A. G. Smith, conductor F. Sutcliffe, and A. J. Scott, engineer E. G. Mayson, and fireman A. Remick, in the yard at Merritton at 11:30 a.m.

"The cause of the collision was the failure of Engineer J. E. Mayson, of train 481, to determine correctly the place where to meet first passenger train 6, when receiving information from the fireman of train 481, who had been sent to the yard to ascertain if the

The result of the collision was that Captain T. H. Richmonde, of train B., H. Richardson, engineer, and A. Listerman, brakeman, were killed, and five passengers, three men and two women, were injured. The passenger train had been running from Kempville to Guelph, Ontario, at the time of the accident.

chester, he looked at his watch again and found it 4:18 p.m., instead of 5:48, and was surprised to find the intention of meeting him at Kenonville, etc., whereas he should not have gone further than Mountain to catch the main line in time for no. 6. Then he realized that the running time for extra passenger was about the running time for extra passenger to get to Mountain, which would have made Mountain at 5:58 if the east end switch and the collision occurred west of Mountain station at 6:00 p.m. As later C.R.R. ride, extra passenger should have been cleared off at the main line at least five minutes before no. 6 arrived, or rather was due to pass.

"Rule No. 80 reads: 'An inferior train must keep out of the rule of a superior train.'

"Rule 214-A, 'Engineers must read their train orders to their firemen, and conductors to their train leaders.'

"In this matter Fireman Merriman and Fireman Ronnick knew perfectly well the contents of the order and should have read their watches; the engineer should have read the order to Fireman Ronnick, as per Rule 214-A. The head end brakeman, L. Snell, was riding in the engine car after leaving Winchester, and was not made aware of the contents of order 31. Only the engineer told him and the fireman as follows: 'We have got time to go to Kingville to take a little coal and water,' and nothing was said about the time. Conductor H. Scott received a similar order as above stated, read the order to the rear end brakeman W. J. O'Meara, and neither of them made a move to ascertain or to figure out the racing point, only when that became evident the conductor made

and of course as far as I therefore find that engineer of exten-  
sive freight train 984, L.L. Mayon and Condie-  
tor H. Scott, are responsible for this collision.  
Inasmuch as they neglected their duty by  
regard to meeting as 6, thereby violating  
the company's rules and Bitman J. A. O'Meara  
is also responsible for neglecting to signal the  
engineer to stop at the east end switch to go  
on the siding and neglecting to comply with  
rule 8a. Dispatcher McBee acted in viola-  
tion of instructions set forth in rule 28 by  
complying with the 15 order to exceed 981 be-  
fore 31 order was delivered to passenger  
train 6. The Assistant Superintendent, R.  
W. McFarland, being questioned on this  
matter, has enthalled that it was a violation  
of instructions, and that McBee, the dis-  
patcher, in this case has sole responsibility.

rail was 60 ft. to the yard and was damaged  
by the Johnson Co., Lorain, in  
1885. About 11 miles of these rails were  
placed in the track in the summer of 1888 and  
there was no single failure until last winter  
when two broke. The rail appears to have  
been broken by the engine passing over it.  
The west side of the brake had been hammered  
for the wheel of the mail car and the express  
car passing over it. Upon the rail on the east  
side of the break appears to have sprung out  
about half the width of the head of the rail,  
as there is the mark of the hinge of the wheel  
where it mounted the rail.

The law required us to take action as soon as possible, and could not be delayed until after the rail was broken. The track in the vicinity is in good condition; the embankment is of standard width, and the rails and ties are in good condition. At the point where the rail was broken, the track had been hammered up about half an inch, showing that the trainmen were paying attention to the track. The inner rail on the curve was not disturbed, and the ties were so little damaged that it was not necessary to replace them in repairing the track. I am of opinion that, considering the severity of the last winter, the company had done everything to keep the track in condition, and that the accident was due to something which they could have no knowledge of, and consequently were unable to provide for.

At the time of the accident the train was running at a moderate rate of speed, and was made up of an engine, mail car, express car, passenger car, coach car, and two we tourist cars.

class car, then another tourist car, then dining car and sleepers. For convenience I have numbered them, commencing with the next to engine. Cars 1 and 2 stayed on the track, car 3 was the first to leave the walls, and was followed by 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. The dining cars and sleepers stayed on the track. The cars went off the track where there is a slight cut-off about 3 ft., and about 100 ft. farther the cut runs out and the track runs on a gentle bankment about 15 ft. high along the shore of a small bay of Lake Windermera. As shown in the cars emerged from the cut they went down the embankment on to the ice, and car 5 pushed last car 4 and lay parallel to it. Car 6 finished the rear ends of ties 400 ft. further out on the ice. Five cars were buried, but the great loss of life all took place in car 5. From what information I could gather, it appears that car 4 turned over on its side, the bottom of the car where the gas tank stood, being broken, so that the sides of car 5 were also broken.

June  
1907

As shown in the cars emerged from the cut they went down the embankment on to the ice, and car 5 passed under 4 and lay parallel to it. Car 6 flushed the rear ends of these cars farther out on the ice. Five cars were buried, but the great mass of life still could place in car 5. From what information I could gather, it appears that car 4 turned over on its side, the bottom of the car where the gaskets were located being the underside of car

train, were burned to death while painted in express car 1735, which was set on fire by oil lamps lighted in the car at the time of the collision.

From the evidence it is shown that passenger train 91 was a superior train as to class and direction, and not scheduled to stop at any place between Kemptville, Ont., and Vandenberg, Ont. It consisted of six cars, two express and baggage cars, tourist car, Welsh passenger car, Pullman car, American, and a C.P.R. sleeping car, Aurora. The cars were equipped both and were burning gas except in the two express and baggage cars which were lighted by oil lamps and two desk lamps. At 5:35 a.m. Dispatcher McRae issued an order, 36, addressed to train 6, and extra freight 981, 3rd no. 50 at Ponch, extra 984, and short no. 50 at Monklands, as follows:

"Order No. 36. No. 6, engine 908, with no. 50 passengers, via Kemptville for Vandenberg. The above order was correctly delivered to Conductor Rennick, of the passenger train, at Kemptville. This train is scheduled to leave Kemptville Jet., eastbound, at 4:39 p.m., and being reported 50 minutes late, she was due to leave at 5:49 instead of 4:59 a.m., and left at 5:51 a.m., 5 minutes late. The time specified in the order, A.C.T., is the same specified in the order, according to her schedule, running time 20 minutes late. Plus five minutes more delay at Kemptville, she was due to pass Mountain, where the collision occurred, at 6:04 p.m. At 5:40 a.m., Conductor Rennick, on extra freight 980, the westbound freight train, consisting of 41 cars all told, while passing over 30 on form 10, which he read and handed over to his engineer, J. E. Maynon. It read as follows:

"No. 6, engine 908 will run 50 minutes late to Vandenberg." A similar order was handed to Conductor H. Scott, when the van passed the station, previous to coming into the station. Engineer Marion had looked at his watch and read the time 4:30 a.m. Instead of 5:30 a.m., one hour earlier than it was. It was actually 5:30 a.m., and after receiving this order he said to his fireman, "We will have time to go to Kemptville and get out before 6 is due there." Fireman Rennick never looked at his watch to compare the time with his engineer, nor ascertain whether the engineer was right in his calculation or not. The train proceeded right along, and after passing

neither of them made an effort to ascertain to figure out the meeting point, only when too late to avoid the catastrophe.

It therefore appears that engineer of extra freight train 981, J. E. Maynon, and Conductor H. Scott, are responsible for this collision inasmuch as they neglected their duty in regard to meeting no. 6, thereby violating the company's rules, and Fireman J. E. Rennick is also responsible for not checking the time with his engineer, or comparing the time with his engineer, Marion. Conductor Rennick A. L. O'Mara is responsible for neglecting to signal the engineer to stop at the east end switch to get on the siding, and neglecting to comply with rule 80. Dispatcher McRae acted in violation of instructions set forth in rule 38 by compelling the him to deliver to extra 984 before 31st order was delivered to passenger train 6. The Assistant Superintendent, R. W. McCormick, being questioned on this matter, has admitted that it was a violation of instructions, and that McRae, the dispatcher, in this case has since been dismissed for the above reason.

In view of the fact that there were no means of extinguishing the fire set to the baggage car after the collision, then the life of the two victims might have been saved if the fire had been checked by some available means, and that in cases of that kind water is rarely obtainable. I beg to recommend that the railway companies be requested to install in each car on passenger trains sufficient underwater fire extinguishers to be used in cases of fire.

#### THE BRITISH ACCIDENT

This accident was the result of passenger train 1, three-quarters mile east of Bronte, Ont., at 11:27 a.m. It was investigated by T. L. Sumner, Assistant Engineer, who reported as follows:

"On April 12, I inspected the scene of the accident, which occurred near Bronte station, about 22 miles west of Cheltenham. I was accompanied by A. L. Hertzberg, the engineer's Engineer of Maintenance, and W. K. Thompson, Assistant Superintendent. The accident was caused by a broken rail on the outside of a 37° 30' curve, or in other words, a curve having a radius of 1,010 ft. I examined the broken rail carefully and discovered that the broken rail completely bent transversely so that it would not go across the track. The size of the end on a longitudinal section of the track outside of the base of the rail. The

train, running at a moderate rate of speed, and was unable up of an engine, mail car, express car, baggage car, conductor car, two tourist cars, 1st class car, then another tourist car, then dining car, and sleepers. For convenience I have numbered them, commencing with that next to engine. Cars 1 and 2 stayed on the track, car 3 went off the track about 3 ft. and about 100 ft. further the car runs out and the track runs on an embankment about 15 ft. high along the shore of a small bay of Lake Ontario.

As soon as the cars emerged from the cut they went down the embankment on to the ice, and car 5 pushed last car 4 and boy started to roll. Car 6 pushed the rear ends of these cars farther out on the ice. Five cars were burned, but the great loss of life all took place in car 5. From what information I could gather, it appears that car 4 turned over on its side, the bottom of the car where the gas tanks are located being close to the windows of car 4 or possibly from the tanks of both cars 4 and 5, entered the broken windows, and

in some way became ignited, setting fire to the interior of the car so rapidly that a number of the passengers had no time to escape. I am of opinion that if there had been no gas on the train, no life would have been lost...

In connection with the foregoing Inspector Launde says: "I beg to call the attention of the Board to that part of Mr. Shumars report where he says at time of the collision that if there had been no gas on the train not a life would have been lost, - I fully concur in his opinion with the additional fact that if the passenger coaches had been equipped with fire extinguishers, there is no doubt that most of the victims would have been saved; and I beg to recommend here what I recommended in my report on the accident at Mountain: that all passenger coaches should be equipped with underwriter type extinguishers to be used in case of fire to rescue those imprisoned or pinned in the debris of any wreck."

The Galt, Appelle, Long Lake and Saskatchewan Rail and Steamboat Co. has voted with the Board of Railway Commissioners a feed-milage freight tariff, to be used in the absence of special traffic, giving lower rates subject to Canadian freight classification.

June  
1907

40]